



CE Road Segment	Board-Endorsed Network	Rationale
1 Engineers Road <u>Segment:</u> Entire length <u>Existing Condition:</u> 2 lane undivided, unpaved <u>Current Classification:</u> Light Collector (2 lanes)	Minor Downgrade 2.2F Light Collector with Reduced Shoulder (2 lanes) <i>Reduced shoulder is 5 feet wide for bike lane</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Road Capacity</i> -- Two lanes are sufficient to operate at LOS D or better • <i>Build Community Consensus</i> -- Community would like minimum sized road which can accommodate bike lane. • <i>Minimize Environmental Impacts</i> -- The narrower road/shoulder bed R.O.W requirements will result in less grading, cut and fill in areas with steep slopes
2A Boulder Creek Road –North <u>Segment:</u> Engineers Road north into Julian (to Eagle Peak) <u>Existing Condition:</u> 2 lanes <u>Current Classification:</u> None	New CE Road 2.2F Light Collector with Reduced Shoulder (2 lanes) <i>Reduced shoulder is 5 feet wide for bike lane</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Road Capacity</i> -- Two lanes are sufficient to operate at LOS D or better • <i>Build Community Consensus</i> -- Staff Recommendation is consistent with the Julian community recommendation and with community request for bike lanes • <i>Minimize Environmental Impacts</i> -- Minimum road standard. Reflects physical constraints
2B Boulder Creek Road <u>Segment:</u> Engineers Road south into Descanso <u>Existing Condition:</u> Majority is a dirt road <u>Current Classification:</u> Light Collector (2 lanes)	Remove from CE Network Local Public Road (2 lanes)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Road Capacity</i> — Local Public Road is sufficient to handle low forecast volumes • <i>Build Community Consensus</i>-- Non CE Local Public Road is agreed upon by both Cuyamaca and Descanso CPG

CE Road Segment	Board-Endorsed Network	Rationale
3 Viejas Grade <u>Segment:</u> West from Riverside Drive to Alpine CPA <u>Existing Condition:</u> 2 lanes <u>Current Classification:</u> Light Collector (2 Lanes)	Minor Downgrade Local Public Road	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Road Capacity</i> – The forecast number of ADTs is appropriate for a Local Public Road • <i>Tribal Coordination</i> – Viejas Tribe would like to retain existing Local Public Road in Alpine
4 Japatul Valley Road South <u>Segment:</u> South of I-8 into Alpine CPA <u>Existing Condition:</u> 2 lanes <u>Current Classification:</u> Rural Mountain (2 lanes)	Minor Downgrade 2.2F Light Collector with Reduced Shoulder (2 lanes) <i>Reduced shoulder is 5 feet wide for bike lane</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Road Capacity</i> -- Two lanes are sufficient to operate at LOS D or better • <i>Build Community Consensus</i> -- Supported by Alpine planning group and Descanso CPG
5 Japatul Valley Road North /SR 79 <u>Segment:</u> North of I-8 to Riverside Dr <u>Existing Condition:</u> 2 lanes <u>Current Classification:</u> Collector Road (4 lanes)	Downgrade Classification 2.2D Light Collector with Improvement Options (2+ lanes) <i>Intermittent Turn Lanes are the preferred improvement option</i> <i>CSG Preference (Descanso):</i> Downgrade Classification 2.2C Light Collector with Intermittent Turn Lanes (2+ lanes)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Road Capacity</i> -- Two lanes are sufficient to operate at LOS D or better • <i>Build Community Consensus</i> -- Identify community preference for CALTRANS improvements in the community plan • <i>Maximize Traffic Movement</i> — Staff recommendation is based on the need to retain a consistent ROW for SR-79 from Julian to I-8. CALTRANS has discretion on improvements. Staff suggestion for passing lane option will provide additional ROW which will accommodate existing turnouts

CE Road Segment	Board-Endorsed Network	Rationale
6 Riverside Drive <u>Segment:</u> Entire length <u>Existing Condition:</u> 2 lanes <u>Current Classification:</u> Collector Road (4 lanes)	Downgrade Classification 2.3C Minor Collector (2 lanes)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Road Capacity</i> -- Two lanes are sufficient to operate at LOS D or better
7 Oak Grove Drive <u>Segment:</u> Entire length <u>Existing Condition:</u> 2 lanes <u>Current Classification:</u> None	New CE road 2.3C Minor Collector (2 lanes)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Build community consensus</i> -- Community would like this to be a CE road because of desire for undergrounding of utilities
8 Viejas Blvd <u>Segment:</u> From Riverside Drive eastward to SR 79 <u>Existing Condition:</u> 2 lanes, divided <u>Current Classification:</u> Light Collector (2 lanes)	Minor Downgrade 2.3C Minor Collector (2 lanes)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Road Capacity</i> -- Two lanes are sufficient to operate at LOS D or better

CE Road Segment	Board-Endorsed Network	Rationale
<p>9A State Route 79</p> <p><u>Segment:</u> Julian CPA south into Descanso CPA</p> <p><u>Existing Condition:</u> 2 lanes</p> <p><u>Current Classification:</u> Rural Mountain (2 lanes)</p>	<p>Minor Upgrade</p> <p>2.2D Light Collector with Improvement Options (2+ lanes)</p> <p><i>Passing lane is the preferred improvement option</i></p> <p><i>CSG Preference (Descanso):</i> Group did not come to a consensus</p> <p><i>CSG Preference (Cuyamaca):</i> Minor Upgrade</p> <p>2.2D Light Collector with Improvement Options (2+ lanes)</p> <p><i>Passing lane is the preferred option</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Road Capacity</i> -- A two-plus lane roadway will operate at LOS D or better. • <i>Maximize Traffic Movement</i> — Staff recommendation is based on the need to retain a consistent ROW for SR-79 from Julian to I-8. CALTRANS has discretion on improvements. Staff suggestion for passing lane option will provide additional ROW which will accommodate existing turnouts
<p>9B State Route 79</p> <p><u>Segment:</u> North of Riverside Dr towards Old Hwy 80</p> <p><u>Existing Condition:</u> 2 lanes</p> <p><u>Current Classification:</u> Collector Road (4 lanes)</p>	<p>Downgrade Classification</p> <p>2.2D Light Collector with Improvement Options (2+ lanes)</p> <p><i>Intermittent Turn Lanes are the preferred option</i></p> <p><i>CSG Preference (Descanso):</i> Downgrade Classification</p> <p>2.2C Light Collector with Intermittent Turn Lanes (2+ lanes)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Maximize Traffic Movement</i> — Staff recommendation is based on the need to retain a consistent ROW for SR-79 from Julian to I-8. CALTRANS has discretion on improvements. Staff suggestion for passing lane option will provide additional ROW which will accommodate existing turnouts • <i>Build Community Consensus</i> – Identify community preference for road type improvement in community plan text.

CE Road Segment	Board-Endorsed Network	Rationale
10A Old Highway 80 <u>Segment:</u> (Outside town): from SR 79 (Descanso) to Pine Valley Road. And Pine Blvd to I-8 <u>Existing Condition:</u> 2 lanes, divided <u>Current Classification:</u> Collector Road (4 lanes)	Downgrade Classification 2.2E Light Collector (2 lanes)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Road Capacity</i> -- Two lanes are sufficient to operate at LOS D or better
10B Old Highway 80 <u>Segment:</u> (Inside town): Pine Valley Road to Pine Blvd <u>Existing Condition:</u> 2 lanes <u>Current Classification:</u> Collector Road (4 lanes)	Downgrade Classification 2.2B Light Collector with Continuous Turn Lane (2+ lanes)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Road Capacity</i> -- A 2 lane road classification will operate at LOS D or better
11 Buckman Springs <u>Segment:</u> From Old Hwy 80 south to Campo CPA <u>Existing Condition:</u> 2 lanes, divided <u>Current Classification:</u> Collector Road (4 lanes)	Downgrade Classification 2.2D Light Collector with Improvement Options (2+ lanes) <i>Passing lane is the preferred option</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Build Community Consensus</i> — Pine Valley CPG defers to Campo CPG: Campo preferred to implement passing lanes on this roadway in order to better accommodate traffic flow issues (i.e. large trucks)
12 Sunrise Highway <u>Segment:</u> Entire Length <u>Existing Condition:</u> 2 lanes <u>Current Classification:</u> Rural Mountain (2 lanes)	Minor Upgrade 2.2D Light Collector with Improvement Options (2+ lanes) <i>Passing lane is the preferred option</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Appropriate Road Type</i> -- Additional ROW should be used to accommodate existing turnouts

CE Road Segment	Board-Endorsed Network	Rationale
<p>13 Kitchen Creek</p> <p><u>Segment</u>: Entire CE roadway</p> <p><u>Existing Condition</u>: 2 lanes, undivided and paved, portion is gated</p> <p><u>Current Classification</u>: Recreational Parkway (2 lanes)</p>	<p>Remove from CE Network</p> <p>Classify as a Local Public Road for paved portion and classify unpaved portion as a Fire Access Road</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Inter-agency Coordination</i> -- Requested by State Forest Service. Although it is used seasonally, road accommodates many trips by recreational users.